

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113065
Title of the Manuscript:	Beyond Shelter: Exploring the roots of homelessness in Nepal through multifaceted Lens of social and Demographic Dynamics
Type of the Article	Research paper

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. It is important for the scientific community as a study that contributes to the literature. 2. Title is suitable because summarize contents of the article 3. The summary of the article is comprehensive as it includes the causes of homelessness in Nepal, the method of analysis made in the article, the main findings and the purpose of the article. 4. The subsections and structure of the article are appropriate since the summary, introduction, method and conclusion sections are sequential, sufficient and understandable. 5. The article is scientifically correct because the subject of the article is scientifically appropriate, the article is understandable and simple language is used, the summary of the article is short and comprehensive, and the article is written in accordance with the IMRAD format. In addition, graphics and statistical data (p value was used) were used to increase its understandability. 6. The article is up-to-date because it uses articles from recent years (2021, 2020).	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  1. Yes.	Okay
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	